

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 17, 2006
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S030402**PEOPLE v. TULLY (RICHARD C.)**

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Margo J. Yu's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by November 30, 2006. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S044739**PEOPLE v. BANKSTON (ANTHONY G.)**

Good cause appearing, and based upon State Public Defender Michael J. Hersek's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 17, 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 15, 2006. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted.

S055856**PEOPLE v. ROMERO & SELF**

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to December 26, 2006.

S076339**PEOPLE v. GRIMES (GARY L.)**

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to December 12, 2006. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all necessary to meet it.

S082776**PEOPLE v. REED (ENNIS)**

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Gail Harper's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 5, 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 12, 2006. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 110 additional days are contemplated.

S093235**PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (JERROLD E.)**

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 18, 2006.

S130762**HARRISON (CEDRIC S.) ON H.C.**

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Fred Renfro's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by January 17, 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 15, 2006. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 33 additional days will be granted.

S135024**MARLOW (JAMES G.) ON H.C.**

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Pamela A. Ratner's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 30, 2006, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 30, 2006. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S137389**WILLIAMS (BOB R.) ON H.C.**

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Charles M. Bonneau's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 13, 2006, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 13, 2006. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S139285**GROSSET (ROBERT) v. WENAAS (ERIC P.)**

On application of intervener and appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response to the amicus curiae brief of Chamber of Commerce of the United States is extended to October 23, 2006.

S142892**NORTH COAST WOMEN'S CARE MEDICAL GROUP v. S.C. (BENITEZ)**

On application of petitioners and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file Petitioners' Answer Brief on the Merits is extended to December 20, 2006.

S144515**C. (LEMANUEL), IN RE**

The order filed October 13, 2006, is amended to read: On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to November 6, 2006.

S146211**PEOPLE v. AKAO (JAMES H.)**

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Lori A. Quick is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S129896**PEOPLE v. CALHOUN (LAWRENCE L.)**

The request of counsel for appellants in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of appellants at oral argument is hereby granted.

The request to allocate to appellant George Kenneth Waller, Jr., 15 minutes, and appellant Lawrence Lamont Calhoun, 10 minutes of appellants' 30-minute allotted time for argument is granted.

S132972**VINEYARD AREA CITIZENS FOR
RESPONSIBLE GROWTH, INC. v. CITY
OF RANCHO CORDOVA (SUNRISE
DOUGLAS PROPERTY OWNERS
ASSOCIATION)**

The request of counsel for respondents in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of respondents at oral argument is hereby granted.

The request to allocate to respondents Sunrise Property Owners Association et al., 20 minutes, and respondent City of Rancho Cordova, 10 minutes, of respondents' 30-minute allotted time is granted.

S146920**PEOPLE v. BRINKLEY (FREDERICK)**

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District.

S147069**HAWKINS (CECIL R.) v. S.C. (VASONA
MANAGEMENT, INC.)**

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S147181**7 ELEVEN, INC. v. A.B.C. (JOLLY)**

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One.

BAR MISC. 4186**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR
EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF
ATTORNEYS**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place: (SEE ATTACHED LIST OF NAMES)

